December 2023

Cardiovascular Health Program

2023-2024 Evaluation and Performance Management Plan

Chronic Disease Prevention Section Medical and Clinical Service Division Georgia Department of Public Health



Evaluation and Performance Measurement Plan

2304 The Georgia Cardiovascular Health Program

Project Period: 6/30/2023 - 6/29/2028

Year 1 Evaluation and Performance Measure Plan

Reporting Period:

June 30, 2023 - June 29, 2024

Prepared by:

Syreeta Wainaina - Program Evaluator, Emma Bicego - Sr. Deputy Director, Patsy Sarnor - Program Lead, Camille Watson - Program Manager, Monyette Childs - Deputy Director, and Kia Toddle - Director and Principal Investigator – Chronic Disease Prevention Section, Georgia Department of Public Health

Introduction:

Cardiovascular disease (CVD) is a leading cause of death in the United States, claiming one life every 34 seconds and resulting in 697,000 deaths in 2020. In Georgia, CVD is the primary cause of mortality, with over 29,200 deaths reported in 2021. Vital records show that 25% of Georgians who died from CVD were below 65. Despite interventions like lifestyle changes and medications, CVD remains the top cause of death across racial and ethnic groups, as well as among men and women in both Georgia and the U.S. Six out of ten Americans suffer from at least one chronic disease, such as heart disease, a major contributor to healthcare costs and disability. Georgia records over 5 million cases of the seven most common chronic diseases annually, highlighting a significant burden. Addressing CVD is crucial for mitigating its impact on public health.

The Cardiovascular Health Program is poised to spearhead interventions and foster collaborations among key stakeholders to alleviate the burden of cardiovascular disease in Georgia. With a focus on high-burden areas, the program prioritizes partnerships with clinics and healthcare systems. The goal is to address social and economic factors within communities, ensuring optimal health outcomes for individuals at risk of or affected by cardiovascular disease (CVD).

The cardiovascular health team will concentrate efforts on clinics and healthcare systems within high-burden areas. These areas encompass communities characterized by specific social and economic factors. The aim is to empower communities and health systems to respond effectively to the social determinants present, thereby enhancing health outcomes for individuals at risk of or burdened with CVD.

The program will leverage a logic model, as outlined in Appendix A, to guide Georgia's planned Evaluation Design and Data Collection. This framework will ensure a systematic approach to interventions and assessments, allowing for a comprehensive understanding of the program's impact on reducing the burden of cardiovascular disease.

By fostering partnerships and collaborations, the Cardiovascular Health Program seeks to create a supportive environment for addressing social determinants within communities. This collaborative approach aims to enhance the capacity of clinics and healthcare systems to respond effectively to the unique needs of populations at risk of cardiovascular disease.

Recognizing the influence of social determinants, the program aims to equip communities and health systems with the tools to respond proactively. By addressing underlying social and economic factors, the program endeavors to contribute to improved health status and outcomes for individuals affected by cardiovascular disease.

The Cardiovascular Health Program's commitment to strategic interventions, collaborative partnerships, and a comprehensive evaluation framework underscores its dedication to mitigating the impact of cardiovascular disease in Georgia.

Narrative of the Evaluation Approach

Evaluation Approach and Context:

The Georgia Department of Public Health (DPH) 2304 program aims to prevent and manage cardiovascular disease (CVD) through a 5-year cooperative agreement. Working with diverse local partners, the program targets populations at higher risk, focusing on those with undiagnosed or uncontrolled high blood pressure and cholesterol, especially in areas with disparities and inequalities.

The evaluation approach employs a mixed methods strategy, combining quantitative and qualitative methodologies. Data collection sources include surveys, program records, reports from partners, vital statistics from DPH, and annual reports from the Health Resources Services Administration on Federally Qualified Health Centers. An annual Health Systems Assessment and Partnership Survey will gauge the impact on health systems and stakeholder outcomes.

Key evaluation efforts center on assessing the implemented strategies' contribution to measurable changes in the health, behavior, and environment of the identified communities. Vital statistics data will be used to evaluate outcomes and health impact by year 5, stratifying by demographics. Preliminary findings will be presented to stakeholders, and an action plan based on evaluation results will be developed.

The program's extensive network of partners, including healthcare systems, public and private organizations, and FQHCs, supports the implementation of strategies. The collaboration aims to transform systems and establish community clinical linkages, ultimately improving health outcomes in Georgia. Findings and best practices will be disseminated through various channels to enhance programmatic interpretation and inform future initiatives.

Evaluation Partners and Primary Intended Users of the Evaluation:

The primary stakeholders for the evaluation include CDC Project Officers and the Georgia Department of Public Health (DPH), specifically the 2304 Cardiovascular Health Program Staff, which encompasses the Office of Health Indicators and Planning (OHIP), local Health Departments (Health Districts), and CVD partners. These stakeholders will play integral roles at different stages of data collection, performance management, and program evaluation.

The engagement of stakeholders/partners is emphasized, seeking their input on data collection methods and tools. Any recommendations for enhancement will be carefully considered and shared with the involved stakeholders. The CDC Project Officer will utilize evaluation results to provide technical assistance and engage in planning discussions in case programmatic changes are

recommended. The DPH 2304 staff will use the evaluation findings to shape program planning and contribute to ongoing quality improvement efforts.

At the local level, DPH Health Departments can leverage evaluation findings to target services and allocate resources more effectively within their respective districts. Communication channels such as email, webinars, and conference calls will be employed to engage selected stakeholders and program staff throughout various phases of the evaluation process. This inclusive approach ensures that the evaluation results are not only disseminated but actively used to enhance program outcomes and decision-making at multiple levels of the organizational hierarchy.

In Year 5. Health Impact:

In Year 5 of the 5-year cooperative agreement, the Georgia 2304 program anticipates significant health impact through the attainment of its overarching goals. The program's key objectives for this period include:

- 1. Clinical Data Enhancement: A targeted increase in reporting, monitoring, and tracking of clinical data is expected. This initiative aims to improve the process of identification, management, and treatment of patients grappling with high blood pressure and high blood cholesterol.
- 2. Community Clinical Links Strengthening: The program envisions an increase in community clinical links. These links are designed to support systematic referrals, foster self-management, and encourage lifestyle changes for individuals dealing with high blood pressure and high blood cholesterol.

Georgia's CVD program, aligned with these overarching goals, seeks to achieve the following specific outcomes in Year 5:

- 1. Improved Health Metrics: The program aims to increase blood pressure control and decrease cholesterol levels among populations within partner health care and community settings, signifying a tangible improvement in overall health outcomes.
- 2. Disparities Reduction: A concerted effort will be made to reduce disparities in blood pressure control, particularly focusing on populations within partner health care and community settings. This initiative addresses health inequalities and promotes equitable health outcomes.
- 3. Social Support Augmentation: The program aspires to increase the utilization of social services and support, specifically targeting populations at the highest risk of CVD. The focal point remains on hypertension and high blood cholesterol, addressing critical aspects of cardiovascular health.

By concentrating on these multifaceted goals, the Year 5 objectives aim to culminate in a substantial and positive health impact, reflecting advancements in clinical data management, community linkages, and overall cardiovascular health outcomes.

ENSURE USE OF EVALUATION FINDINGS

To ensure that the program evaluation will facilitate the use of evaluation findings, a dissemination plan will be developed in conjunction with the evaluation plan. Evaluation findings will be synthesized into an evaluation action plan, developed in collaboration with stakeholders/partners. The action plan will detail targeted recommendations and specific action steps necessary to implement the recommendations for program improvement. As an action-oriented management tool, the evaluation findings will be intended to inform program planners and stakeholders of opportunities to strengthen, enhance, and revise program activities.

Table 1. Strategy-Specific Evaluation Design and Data Collection

Strategy 1: Track and Monitor Clinical and Social Services and Support Needs Measures Shown to Improve Health and Wellness, Health Care Quality, and Identify Patients at the Highest Risk of Cardiovascular Disease (CVD) with a Focus on Hypertension and High Cholesterol.

Approach 1A: Advance the adoption and use of electronic health records (EHR) or health information technology (HIT), to identify, track, and monitor measures for clinical and social services and support needs to address health care disparities and health outcomes for adults at the highest risk of cardiovascular disease (CVD) with a focus on hypertension and high cholesterol.

Activity(s):

Activity #1: Partner with Georgia Primary Care Association (GPCA) to recruit two FQHCs that use eClinical Works electronic health record (EHR) to identify, track, and monitor measures for clinical and social services and support needs to address health care disparities and health outcomes for adults at highest risk of cardiovascular disease (CVD) with a focus on hypertension and high cholesterol.

Activity #2: Partner with GPCA to develop a new customized CATAPULT plan for QI interventions in 2 FQHCs to identify, track, and monitor measures for clinical and social services and support needs. Identify disparities in outcomes for patients with hypertension and high cholesterol, by race, ethnicity, and other populations of focus. CATAPULT plan will include required EHR training for the clinical team.

Evaluation	Indicator/	Method	Data	Frequenc	Responsibili
Question	Performance Measure		Source	у	t y
Effectiveness:	Percentage increase	Quantitative	Program	Annually	Evaluator
To what extent	in EHR adoption in	Analysis:	Records		
has the adoption	recruited FQHCs	Collect and	Quarterly		
and use of EHR		analyze	Reports		

	Diame different for the		11141		_
or health information technology (HIT) facilitated the identification, tracking, and monitoring of clinical and social services for adults at the highest risk of cardiovascular disease (CVD)?	Disparities reduction in health outcomes across diverse populations, and the identification of targeted interventions.	quantitative data from EHRs, patient records, and health outcome metrics. Surveys and Interviews: Conduct surveys and interviews with	Health Systems Assessment Survey HRSA: Health Resources and Services Administration		
How successful is the partnership with GPCA in recruiting FQHCs for EHR utilization to identify, track, and monitor measures related to clinical and social services for adults at the highest risk of cardiovascular disease (CVD)?	 # of FQHCs recruited and successfully engaged in the initiative. Percentage of patient records in eClinical Works with relevant CVD measures. Number of clinical measures tracked. Number of social services tracked. 	clinical teams and stakeholders to gather qualitative insights on the effectiveness of EHR utilization, recruitment, and intervention strategies. Document Review: Review		Quarterly and Annually	Evaluator
To what extent is the CATAPULT plan implemented in FQHCs for QI interventions?	 Completion rate of CATAPULT plan components. # of patients identified by characteristics and outcomes. Action plans developed to address disparities. 	CATAPULT plans, training materials, and reports to assess the implementation of QI interventions and disparities identification.			
How effective is the EHR training for the clinical team?	 Level of participation and engagement of the clinical team in the EHR training. Improvement in clinical team 				

proficiency with		
EHR.		

Approach 1B: Promote the use of standardized processes or tools to identify the social services and support needs of patient populations at highest risk of CVD, with a focus on hypertension and high cholesterol, and monitor and assess the referral and utilization of those services, such as food assistance, transportation, housing, childcare, etc.

Activity(s):

Activity #1: Partner with Georgia Primary Care Association (GPCA) to assess tools and processes used to identify social services and support needs of patients, refer patients to social and support services, and track utilization of those services. Provide user access to findhelp.org to up to 5 FQHCs.

Activity #2: Partner with GPCA and Community Health Worker Program and provide user access for up to 5 FQHCs to use FindHelp.org (social care technology systems) to track referrals and utilization of social services.

Evaluation	Indicator/	Method	Data	Frequenc	Responsibilit
Question	Performance Measure		Source	у	у
Effectiveness:	 Adoption rate of 	Surveys and	Program	Annually	Evaluator
How effective is	standardized	Interviews:	Records		
Approach 1B in	processes and tools.	Conduct	Health		
promoting the	 Improvement in 	surveys and	Systems		
use of	patient outcomes.	interviews with	Assessment		
standardized		FQHC staff, and	Survey in		
processes or		Community	Qualtrics		
tools to identify		Health Workers	HRSA:		
social services		to gather	Health		
and support		qualitative	Resources		
needs, and		insights on the	and Services		
monitor and		effectiveness of	Administrati		
assess the referral		tools, processes,	on		
and utilization of		and the impact			
those services for		of FindHelp.org			
patient		on social			
populations at		services			
the highest risk of		utilization.			
CVD?		Discuss			

How	Number of tools and	challenges and			
comprehensive	processes assessed.	successes in			
and suitable are	Ratings of assessed	implementing			
the tools and	tools and processes	FindHelp.org.			
processes	by FQHCs.				
assessed by	, -	Usage Metrics			
GPCA for		Analysis:			
identifying social		Collect usage			
services and		metrics from			
support needs?		FindHelp.org,			
To what extent	Number of FQHCs	including the		Quarterly	Evaluator
do FQHCs adopt	using FindHelp.org.	number of		and	
and utilize	Frequency of use	referrals made,		Annually	
FindHelp.org	and referrals made	and utilization			
after user access	through	of social			
is provided?	FindHelp.org.	services.			
How effective is	Number of	Analyze trends			
the partnership	Community Health	over time to			
with the	Workers trained and	assess the			
Community	actively using	adoption and			
Health Worker	FindHelp.org.	impact of the			
Program in	 Increase in the 	resource.			
enhancing the	accuracy of tracking				
utilization of	referrals and service	Quality			
FindHelp.org for	utilization.	Assessment:			
tracking referrals		Assess the			
and social		quality of tools			
services		and processes			
utilization?		identified by			
How accurate is	Percentage of tracked	GPCA through			
the tracking of	referrals leading to	reviews and			
referrals and	service utilization.	feedback from			
utilization of	Feedback from	FQHCs.			
social services	patients and service				
using	providers on the				
FindHelp.org?	accuracy of tracking.				
G: : 3 1	ant Toom Pased Cara to I		C) (D, D)	_	

Strategy 2: Implement Team-Based Care to Prevent and Reduce CVD Risk with a Focus on Hypertension and High Cholesterol Prevention, Detection, Control, and Management through the Mitigation of Social Support Barriers to Improve Outcomes.

Approach 2C: Build and manage a coordinated network of multidisciplinary partnerships that address identified barriers to social services and support needs (e.g., childcare, transportation, language translation, food assistance, and housing) within populations at the highest risk of CVD.

Activity(s):

Activity #1: Partner with TBD to assemble and convene a multidisciplinary Georgia Learning Collaborative (LC).

Evaluation	Indicator/	Method	Data	Frequenc	Responsibilit
Question	Performance Measure		Source	у	у
To what extent was the Georgia Learning Collaborative (LC) successfully formed and functional in addressing identified barriers to social services and support	 Timely assembly of the LC. Level of participation and engagement from diverse disciplines. 	Documentation n and Record Review: Review meeting minutes, records, and documents related to the assembly, discussions, and activities of the	Program Reports HRSA: Health Resources and Services Administrati on	Quarterly and Annually	Evaluator and Contactors
needs? How effective were the multidisciplinary partnerships in addressing identified barriers and enhancing social support for populations at the highest risk of CVD?	 Number of partnerships formed. Quality of collaboration as perceived by partners. 	Georgia Learning Collaborative. Surveys and Interviews: Conduct surveys and interviews with members of the LC to gather feedback on the			
To what extent did the LC successfully identify barriers to social services and support needs within populations at the highest risk of CVD?	 Comprehensive list of identified barriers. Stakeholder agreement on priority barriers. 	formation process, functionality, and perceived effectiveness of partnerships. Stakeholder Outcome Monitoring:			

How well did the	•	Existence of action	Regularly		
LC develop and		plans.	monitor the		
implement action	•	Level of	progress of		
plans and		implementation of	action plans		
interventions to		interventions.	and		
address the			interventions		
identified			through		
barriers?			documented		
			milestones and		
			reports.		

Strategy 3: Link Community Resources and Clinical Services That Support Bidirectional Referrals, Self-Management, and Lifestyle Change to Address Social Determinants That Put the Priority Populations at Increased Risk of Cardiovascular Disease with a Focus on Hypertension and High Cholesterol.

Approach 3A: Create and/or enhance community-clinical links to identify SDoH (e.g., housing, transportation, access to care, and community resources) and respond to the individual social support needs of populations at highest risk of cardiovascular disease with a focus on hypertension.

Activity(s):

Activity 1. Partner with GPCA to formulate an analysis of select FQHCs' policies and procedures based on patient satisfaction surveys.

Activity 2. Partner with GPCA to coordinate training opportunities for FQHC staff on the PRAPARE assessment tool to identify and facilitate referrals to social services in FQHCs.

Activity 3. Building on work from 1815 to increase referrals to community programming, participating in Federally Qualified Health Centers (FQHCs), will address food insecurities and food access by establishing community linkages to Project Open Hand and Wholesome Wave Georgia.

Activity 4. Invite partners implementing activities related to Community-Clinical linkages to participate in the Learning Collaborative to facilitate communication and exchange of ideas. Partners will receive assistance in using data to create maps to identify census tracts with priority populations at the highest risk for cardiovascular health conditions, based on CVD burden and social factors, environmental structures, and healthcare structures that impact priority populations.

Evaluation	Indicator/	Method	Data	Frequenc	Responsibilit
Question	Performance Measure		Source	у	у
Effectiveness:	Number of	Survey and	Program	Annually	Evaluator
How effective is	established	Focus Group	Records		
this strategy in	community-clinical	Analysis:	HRSA:		
creating and	links.	Analyze	Health		
enhancing	Improvement in	responses from	Resources		
community-	patient-reported	patient surveys	and Services		

clinical links to address social determinants and respond to social support needs for populations at the highest risk of cardiovascular disease (CVD)?	assessments of social and medical needs.	to assess the effectiveness of patient assessments and the impact on social and medical needs. Policy and Procedure	Administrati on		
To what extent does the partnership with GPCA in analyzing FQHCs' policies and procedures (based on patient satisfaction surveys) contribute to identifying areas for improvement?	 Number of policies and procedures analyzed. Identified areas for improvement based on analysis. 	Review: Review analyzed policies and procedures to identify areas for improvement and assess the impact on patient satisfaction.		Quarterly, Annually	
How well do FQHCs adopt and utilize the PRAPARE assessment tool to identify and facilitate referrals to social services?	 Percentage of FQHC staff trained. Number of referrals made through the PRAPARE tool. 	Training Evaluation: Evaluate the adoption of the PRAPARE tool through pre- and post- training			

To what extent	Number of referrals	assessments,	
does the	made to community	and track	
partnership with	programs.	referrals made	
community	 Improvement in 	using the tool.	
•		using the tool.	
programs and	food access metrics.	Community	
Project Open		Community	
Hand/Wholesom		Program	
e Wave Georgia		Impact	
address food		Analysis:	
insecurities and		Monitor and	
improve food		analyze the	
access for priority		impact of	
populations?		community	
		programs and	
		partnerships	
		with Project	
		Open	
		Hand/Wholeso	
		me Wave	
		Georgia on	
		food	
		insecurities and	
		access.	
Approach 3B: Ider	ntify and deploy dedicated	CHWs (or their equivalents) to provide a continuum of	care

Approach 3B: Identify and deploy dedicated CHWs (or their equivalents) to provide a continuum of care and services which extend the benefits of clinical interventions and address social services and support needs leading to optimal health outcomes.

Activity 2. Provide support for the Community Health Worker (CHW) Network, to provide opportunities for CHWs to collaborate through meetings and learning collaboratives that support peer learning exchanges and collaborative partnerships. The partner will provide administrative support, and assist with the steering teams, regional, and statewide Georgia CHW Network meetings.

To what extent	 Number of CHWs 	CHW Network	HRSA:	Quarterly	Evaluator
does the support	participating in	Participation	Health		
provided for the	meetings and	Analysis:	Resources		
CHW Network	learning	Analyze	and Services		
facilitate	collaboratives.	attendance			

collaboration and peer learning exchanges among CHWs?	Quality of collaborative partnerships established.	records, feedback, and collaboration outcomes from CHWs participating in meetings and learning collaboratives to assess the effectiveness and quality of	Administrati on Program Records	
		effectiveness		

Stakeholder engagement

Stakeholders/partners will be involved at all different levels in performance management and evaluation of the program. Key partners and program staff will be engaged in all phases of the evaluation process including planning, implementation, and the development of measures. Table 2. provides a list of partners/stakeholders, their role in the evaluation, and how and when to engage them. Georgia will engage different stakeholders to assess stakeholder/partner readiness and find out to what extent they are engaging our priority populations.

Table 2: Stakeholder engagement

Stakeholder Name	Stakehold er Category	Interest or Perspective	Role in the Evaluation	How and When to Engage
CDC Project Officer	Primary	Monitor program deliverables, requirements, and performance measures	Development of Evaluation plan; Provide technical assistance, provide data from the CDC, and plan discussions if programmatic changes are recommended. Data collection and analysis	Interpretation of findings; Use evaluation findings in monthly meetings and quarterly, and annual progress reports, and assistance via email

	1	T	I	1
Georgia Department of Public Health (DPH) 2304 Staff	Primary	Ensure program success through monitoring of program goals, objectives, funding, reports, and data	Development of Evaluation plan; Guide evaluation design and implementation, use findings to inform program planning and quality improvement. Data collection	Interpretation of findings. Use evaluation findings in bi-weekly meetings, and monthly and quarterly reports.
DPH Chronic Disease Prevention Section Reporting and Evaluation Office	Primary	Collect, analyze, and report program-specific data	Lead evaluation activities, develop and implement evaluation plan and reports, analyze program data, provide recommendations from findings, disseminate findings	Dissemination of findings The evaluation is used in bi-weekly meetings and monthly and quarterly reports.
DPH Related Chronic Disease Programs, Georgia Primary Care Association	Secondary	Collaborate and coordinate with 2304 staff to streamline chronic disease prevention efforts	Use findings to implement and enhance the performance of a respective program.	Use and dissemination of evaluation findings in quarterly reports.
DPH Office of Health Indicators and Planning (OHIP), Chronic Disease, Health Behaviors, & Injury Epidemiology Section	Secondary	Collect and report vital statistics data	Provide and collect data	Quarterly reports
DPH local Public Health Districts, DPH	Tertiary	Implement program activities	Provide and collect data and use evaluation findings to target	Dissemination of

Communicatio ns, Select FQHCs,			services and efforts within the districts	evaluation findings in monthly and annual reports
Metro Atlanta			Use and dissemination	'
Ministerial			of evaluation findings	
Alliance, Heal Collaborative,				
Ready Set				
Push, Georgia				
State				
University				
Community		Collect and report on		
Pharmacy Enhanced		expansion,	Collect data and	
Service		billing, and	provide data	Dissemination of
Network	Tertiary	referrals of cardiovascular		evaluation findings in
(CPESN),		disease control	Use and dissemination	monthly and annual reports
Georgia		and prevention	of evaluation findings	
Pharmacy Association		programs in		
Association		pharmacy		

DATA ANALYSIS

The evaluation will combine both quantitative and qualitative methods. The proposed methods include the use of statistical analysis to assess key metrics such as CVD risk factors, health outcomes, and program adoption rates. Qualitative methods, including interviews, focus groups, and thematic analysis, will provide in-depth insights into the effectiveness and impact of the strategies. Continuous monitoring processes, stakeholder engagement, and the application of mixed-methods integration are recommended for ongoing improvement and validation of findings. Data visualization techniques will be employed to enhance the communication of key trends and insights. This multifaceted methodology aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of the strategies' effectiveness and guide informed decision-making for program adjustments and enhancements.

The evaluator will compile, clean, code, analyze, and interpret data from multiple data sources. The evaluator will summarize and highlight the key findings from the monthly and quarterly progress reports submitted by partners implementing the strategies. Some key outcome variables will be stratified by demographics, such as age, race/ethnicity, and geographic region.

Table 3: Key Project Activities Reporting Timeline, CDP 2023 - 2029

Timeline	Key Activities	
Y1: 1st and 2nd. Quarter	Evaluation and performance measurement planning phase; The Evaluation and Surveillance staff and workgroup will collaborate with the CDC Evaluation and surveillance unit to develop a more detailed evaluation and performance measurement plan.	
Y1: 3rd Quarter	Finalize evaluation and performance measurement plan; Develop data collection, data analysis, dissemination, and communication plan.	
Y1: 3rd & 4th Quarter	Data collection from all funded implementers including progress, barriers, and facilitators to implementation, data collection/entry/submission.	
Y2 – Y5		
Q1	Submission of year 1 annual progress report to CDC Submission of a progress report by funded implementers. Dissemination of the previous year's progress report to stakeholders Collect quarterly progress data from partners.	
Q2	Collect progress data from partners. Dissemination of the previous quarter's progress report to stakeholders	
Q3	Submit interim performance report to CDC Collect quarterly progress data from partners	
Q4	Data collection from all funded implementers; Data collection from all funded implementers including progress, barriers, and facilitators to implementation, data collection/entry/submission; Dissemination of progress report to stakeholders	

Table 4. Performance Measurement Plan

Performance Measurement Plan Narrative

How will the quality of performance measure data be assured?

The quality of the performance measure data will be assured with the creation of standardized data collection tools utilized both internally and externally and the continuous monitoring of data collection by the CVD Evaluator. The CVD Evaluator will ensure technical assistance is provided to all individuals who collect data that feed into the 2304 cardiovascular health program performance measures. In addition, data will be presented to 2304 staff on a monthly, quarterly, and annual basis to facilitate feedback on evaluation components, program quality improvement, and decision-making.

How will performance measurement yield findings to demonstrate progress toward achieving program goals?

The performance measurement will yield findings to demonstrate progress towards achieving goals by the collection and analysis of real-time data on a monthly, quarterly, and annual basis that focus on activities related

to community-clinical linkage and health systems change to reduce the burden of hypertension and high blood cholesterol in the state of Georgia through the promotion and use of evidence-based interventions (EBIs).

How will performance measure data be disseminated?

The performance measure data will be disseminated through various channels, such as local conferences, meetings, evaluation reports, the DPH website, and evaluation briefs. The 2304 team will present the evaluation findings to other 2304 states and local, state, and national level stakeholders through reports and conference calls.

Additional Narrative

Once year 1 data is available, the data will be utilized as a baseline throughout the grant to ensure an appropriate reflection of the selected health systems the CVD program staff is currently working with. Proposed targets are comprised of health care systems the CVD team is currently working with and are not reflective of the entire state of Georgia. Targets may be revised to reflect programmatic changes throughout the 5-year grant.

Appendix A:

