# Clostridium difficile Infections & Diagnostic Stewardship

Jay B. Varkey, MD Associate Professor of Medicine Hospital Epidemiologist, Emory University Hospital





# **Clostridioides** difficile Infections & Diagnostic Stewardship

Jay B. Varkey, MD Associate Professor of Medicine Hospital Epidemiologist, Emory University Hospital



## Outline

1. Clostridioides difficile Infections (CDI): What's New in 2018?

- -Epidemiology
- -Prevention
- -Treatment
- -Diagnosis
- 2. The Need for Diagnostic Stewardship
  - -Definition
  - -Potential Benefits and Harms
- 3. CDI and the Impact of Diagnostic Stewardship



## Outline

#### 1. Clostridioides difficile Infections (CDI): What's New in 2018?

- -Epidemiology
- -Prevention
- -Treatment
- -Diagnosis
- 2. The Need for Diagnostic Stewardship
  - -Definition
  - -Potential Benefits and Harms
- 3. CDI and the Impact of Diagnostic Stewardship



## CDI: Epidemiology

- CDI is the most common cause of healthcare-associated infection (HAI) in the USA
- Every year in the United States:
  - ~500,000 incident CDI
  - ~30,000 deaths
- Mid-2000s: Emergence of ribotype 027 epidemic strain (aka NAP1) → Changing epidemiology of CDI in hospitals



Division of

Infectious Diseases

Magill SS, Edwards JR, Bamberg W, et al. Emerging Infections Program Healthcare-Associated Infections and Antimicrobial Use Prevalence Survey Team. Multistate point-prevalence survey of health care-associated infections. N Engl J Med 2014. 370: 1198-208.

Lessa FC, Mu Y, Bamberg WM, et al. Burden of Clostridium difficile infection in the United States. N Engl J Med 2015. 372: 825-834

## **CDI: Impact of Changing Epidemiology**

- Increased morbidity
  - ↑ Recurrence
  - ↑ Readmissions
  - $\uparrow$  Requirement for ICU care
  - ↑ Colectomies
  - ↑ Discharge to Long Term Care Facilities (LTCFs)
- Increased mortality:
  - Prior to 2000: <2% cases
  - Since 2000: 4.5 5.7%
  - During epidemic periods: 6.9 16.7%
- Increased costs
  - Attributable cost for hospitals: \$5,000 \$10,000 per episode
- EMORY UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF MEDICINE
- Division of Infectious Diseases

McDonald et al. Clinical Practice Guidelines for Clostridium difficile Infection. Clin Infect Dis 2018. 66: e1-e48 Kwon JH, Olsen MA, Dubberke ER. The morbidity, mortality, and costs associated with Clostridium difficile Infection. Infect Dis Clin North Am 2015. 29: 123-34.

Total US costs attributable to CDI: \$1.2 – 5.9 billion

## **CDI: Prevention**

- Preemptive contact precautions (gowns and gloves) pending test results for suspected CDI
- Contact precautions until discharge if high CDI rates
- In CDI outbreaks/sustained high rates, soap and water hand hygiene preferred instead of alcohol
- Consider terminal room cleaning with a sporicidal agent
- Measure cleaning adequacy
- Implement antibiotic stewardship and consider restricting fluoroquinolones, clindamycin and cephalosporins



Division of Infectious Diseases

McDonald et al. Clinical Practice Guidelines for Clostridium difficile Infection. Clin Infect Dis 2018. 66: e1-e48

## **CDI: Treatment Update**

Clinical Definition	Supportive Clinical Data	Recommended Treatment <sup>a</sup>	Strength of Recommendation/ Quality of Evidence
Initial episode, non-severe	Leukocytosis with a white blood cell count of ≤15000 cells/mL and a serum creati- nine level <1.5 mg/dL	<ul> <li>VAN 125 mg given 4 times daily for 10 days, OR</li> <li>FDX 200 mg given twice daily for 10 days</li> <li>Alternate if above agents are unavailable: metronidazole, 500 mg 3 times per day by mouth for 10 days</li> </ul>	Strong/High Strong/High Weak/High
Initial episode, severe <sup>b</sup>	Leukocytosis with a white blood cell count of ≥15000 cells/mL or a serum creati- nine level >1.5 mg/dL	<ul> <li>VAN, 125 mg 4 times per day by mouth for 10 days, OR</li> <li>FDX 200 mg given twice daily for 10 days</li> </ul>	Strong/High Strong/High
Initial episode, fulminant	Hypotension or shock, ileus, megacolon	<ul> <li>VAN, 500 mg 4 times per day by mouth or by nasogastric tube. If ileus, consider adding rectal instillation of VAN. Intravenously administered met- ronidazole (500 mg every 8 hours) should be administered together with oral or rectal VAN, particularly if ileus is present.</li> </ul>	Strong/Moderate (oral VAN); Weak/Low (rectal VAN); Strong/Moderate (intrave- nous metronidazole)
First recurrence		<ul> <li>VAN 125 mg given 4 times daily for 10 days if metronidazole was used for the initial episode, OR</li> <li>Use a prolonged tapered and pulsed VAN regimen if a standard regimen was used for the initial episode (eg, 125 mg 4 times per day for 10–14 days, 2 times per day for a week, once per day for a week, and then every 2 or 3 days for 2–8 weeks), OR</li> <li>FDX 200 mg given twice daily for 10 days if VAN was used for the initial episode</li> </ul>	Weak/Low Weak/Low Weak/Moderate
Second or subsequent recurrence		<ul> <li>VAN in a tapered and pulsed regimen, OR</li> <li>VAN, 125 mg 4 times per day by mouth for 10 days followed by rifaximin 400 mg 3 times daily for 20 days, OR</li> <li>FDX 200 mg given twice daily for 10 days, OR</li> <li>Fecal microbiota transplantation<sup>o</sup></li> </ul>	Weak/Low Weak/Low Strong/Moderate

McDonald et al. Clinical Practice Guidelines for Clostridium difficile Infection. Clin Infect Dis 2018. 66: e1-e48

## **CDI:** Diagnosis

• What is the best method? It depends on whether a system of diagnostic stewardship is in place!



McDonald et al. Clinical Practice Guidelines for Clostridium difficile Infection. Clin Infect Dis 2018. 66: e1-e48

> Clinicians and laboratory personnel agree at the institutional level to not submit stool specimens on patients receiving laxatives and to submit stool specimens only from patients with unexplained and new onset  $\geq$  3 unformed stools in 24 h for testing for CDI.

No

.

Diagnostic Stewardship!

Stool toxin test\* as part of a

plus toxin; GDH plus toxin,

multiple step algorithm (i.e. GDH

arbitrated by NAAT; or NAAT plus

toxin) rather than a nucleic acid

amplification test (NAAT) alone.

Yes

\*Approved stool EIA toxin tests vary widely in sensitivity. Laboratories should choose a toxin test with sensitivity in the upper range of sensitivity as reported in the literature [146-149, 156]. NAAT alone OR stool toxin test\* as part of a multiple step algorithm (i.e. GDH plus toxin; GDH plus toxin, arbitrated by NAAT; or NAAT plus toxin) rather than a toxin test alone.

## Outline

1. Clostridioides difficile Infections (CDI): What's New in 2018?

- -Epidemiology
- -Prevention
- -Treatment
- -Diagnosis

#### 2. The Need for Diagnostic Stewardship

- -Definition -Potential Benefits and Harms
- 3. CDI and the Impact of Diagnostic Stewardship



## Diagnostic Stewardship: Defined

- "The appropriate use of laboratory testing to guide patient management"
- "The right test, for the right patient, at the right time"
- Goal: <u>Optimize</u> <u>Patient</u> <u>Outcomes</u>!
- Note: Although diagnostic stewardship may promote the cost effective use of laboratory tests, minimizing costs is NOT the primary goal of diagnostic stewardship!





Division of Infectious Diseases

Messacar K et al. Implementation of rapid molecular infectious disease diagnostics: the role of diagnostic and antimicrobial stewardship. J Clin Microbiol 2017. 55: 715-723.

## Diagnostic Stewardship: 3 Stages

#### 1. PREanalytic:

-ORDERING

-test-related decision making (e.g. "Is this patient having diarrhea?") -specimen collection

### 2. Analytic:

- -PERFORMING
- -Laboratory practices

-Includes protocolized or reflex test algorithms (e.g. No urine culture performed if no pyuria on u/a)

### 3. POSTanalytic:

-REPORTING

-e.g., selective reporting of antimicrobial susceptibility data to encourage use of narrow spectrum agents



## The Need for Diagnostic Stewardship

- Clinicians order tests for patients without symptoms specific for the disease process!
  - -Low pre-test likelihood of infection
  - -Example 1: Urine culture for patient without UTI symptoms
  - -Example 2: CDI testing in patients without diarrhea
- Positive test results in this setting are often: -False-positives
  - -Colonization rather than true infection
  - -BUT, often result in  $\downarrow$  quality of care:
    - -unnecessary antibiotic therapy
    - -increased risk of antimicrobial resistance
    - -avoidable adverse drug effects



## The Need for Diagnostic Stewardship

- Laboratory tests
  - -currently account for only 4% of healthcare costs, BUT
  - -most rapidly growing segment of the healthcare budget
    - -Impact of new molecular assays
    - -Need to address value (Quality/Cost)
- At least 20% of available tests are overused AND underused -delayed/incorrect diagnoses
   -delayed/inappropriate treatment
   -adverse patient outcomes
   -increase costs



Division of Infectious Diseases

Riley SB. Trends in laboratory utilization. Clin Microbiol Newslett 2017: 39: 69-73.

Brown S, Dickerson J. The struggle is real: lab leaders discuss utilization challenges during a 2 day summit. J Appl Lab Med 2016: 1: 306-9.

## **Diagnostic Stewardship: Potential Benefits**

Improve the *accuracy* of a diagnosis

↑ True-positive results and ↓ False-positive results
 ↑ Appropriate (and timely) antibiotic use
 ↓ Adverse drug effects
 ↓ Length of stay



## **Diagnostic Stewardship: Potential Harms**

Will improving the positive predictive value of testing result in **missed diagnoses**?

Prior to implementing a diagnostic stewardship intervention, need to identify outcomes that can be tracked to monitor for unintended patient harm



## Diagnostic Stewardship: Potential Benefits Example 1: "The Puzzle of Mr. P"

cc: "altered mental status"

Hx: 75 yo M w/ HTN, BPH with a chronic indwelling urinary catheter, and "frequent UTIs" presents with altered mental status x 3 days. Recently started on beta-blocker.

PE: elderly white male, non-toxic appearing, oriented only to self. GU: Catheter draining clear-appearing urine

Labs:

-u/a: 3 WBC per HPF

-urine culture: NOT PERFORMED (per lab urine reflex protocol)

Clinical Dx: Drug-Induced Delirium (secondary to beta-blocker)? Course:

- 1. Beta-blocker held and supportive care ->
- 2. Complete resolution of symptoms



## Diagnostic Stewardship: Potential Benefits Example 2: "The Puzzle of Mr. P"

Labs:

-u/a: 3 WBC per HPF

-urine culture: >100,000 E. coli CFU/ml

Dx: Delirium secondary to recurrent UTI?

Course:

- 1. Started on ciprofloxacin  $\rightarrow$  Worsening Delirium
- 2. Diarrhea  $\rightarrow$  Stool positive for CDI by PCR
- Fever, Tachycardia, Hypotension, Increasing WBC to 50,000
   → Transfer to MICU for sepsis
- 4. Aggressive volume resuscitation  $\rightarrow$  Acute Resp Failure
- 5. Prolonged ICU stay  $\rightarrow$  D/C'd to SNF



## Outline

1. Clostridioides difficile Infections (CDI): What's New in 2018?
 -Epidemiology

- -Prevention
- -Treatment
- -Diagnosis
- 2. The Need for Diagnostic Stewardship
  - -Definition
  - -Potential Benefits and Harms

#### 3. CDI and the Impact of Diagnostic Stewardship



## CDI and the Impact of Diagnostic Stewardship

- 1/2014: National Healthcare Safety Network (NHSN) requires all participating health care facilities to report all positive C diff tests, *regardless of clinical circumstances*
- Any positive C diff test on sample collected on or after day 4 of hospitalization is counted as a <u>hospital onset</u> C diff infection (HO-CDI), *regardless if symptoms were present on admission*
- Health care facilities with C diff rates above national benchmarks will see a decrease in reimbursement



CDI and the Impact of Diagnostic Stewardship The Johns Hopkins Experience

- 01/2015-06/2016: Infection Prevention prospective chart review for each HO-CDI LabID event
- "True HO-CDI"

-clinically significant diarrhea (≥3 episodes in 24 hours)
-not present on admission
-no laxatives in preceding 48 hours

• Results stratified by service line to target for future diagnostic stewardship interventions



CDI and the Impact of Diagnostic Stewardship The Johns Hopkins Experience

- 490 HO-CDI LabID events
  - 284 (58%) were "True HO-CDI"
  - 206 (42%) were "Nontrue HO-CDI"
    - 49.5% with no significant diarrhea
    - 41% with laxative use in previous 48 hours
    - 9.5% with delayed testing

• 92% patients who were inappropriately tested received treated for CDI!!!



## CDI and the Impact of Diagnostic Stewardship The Johns Hopkins Experience

a: True HO-CDI Rate vs NHSN LabID HO-CDI Rate



Conclusion: Education and best practice alerts to help clinicians avoid ordering a test when a patient doesn't have diarrhea or received a recent laxative may increase the pretest probability of true CDI

Division of Infectious Diseases

MEDICINE

b: True HO-CDI SIR vs NHSN LabID HO-CDI Event SIR

- 06/2015-06/2016: Real-time electronic patient data tracking used by the laboratory to enforce testing criteria
   -clinically significant diarrhea (≥3 episodes in 24 hours)
   -no laxatives in preceding 48 hours
   -rejection of repeat orders within a 7-day interval
- When criteria for testing were not met, order was cancelled and the provider was notified.



Division of Infectious Diseases Truong et al. Real-Time Electronic Tracking of Diarrheal Episodes and Laxative Therapy Enables Verification of Clostridium difficile Clinical Testing Criteria and Reduction of Clostridium difficile Infection Rates. J Clin Microbiol 2017. 55: 1276-1284.

- 2,321 orders for C. difficile testing
  - 211 (9.1%) cancelled because of receipt of laxatives
  - 164 (7.1%) cancelled due to absence of diarrhea
- C. difficile test utilization decreased from 208.8 tests to 143 tests per 10,000 patient-days (*P* = 0.001)
- HO-CDI incidence rate decreased from 13 cases to 9.7 cases per 10,000 patient-days (P = 0.008)
- Oral vancomycin days of therapy decreased from 13.8 days to 9.4 days per 1,000 patient-days (P = 0.009)

Division of Infectious Diseases

Truong et al. Real-Time Electronic Tracking of Diarrheal Episodes and Laxative Therapy Enables Verification of Clostridium difficile Clinical Testing Criteria and Reduction of Clostridium difficile Infection Rates. J Clin Microbiol 2017. 55: 1276-1284.

- 05/2016 12/2017: Retrospective review of medical records of patients whose providers ordered C. difficile testing
- "True HO-CDI"
  - -clinically significant diarrhea (≥3 episodes in 24 hours)
    -no laxatives in preceding 48 hours
  - -at least 1 of the following symptoms:
    - 1. temperature ≥38°C
    - 2. abdominal pain
    - 3. abdominal tenderness documented on exam
    - 3. WBC > 12,000/μL



- 01/2017:
  - Hospital-wide educational campaign on *C. difficile* testing -campus-wide memo to providers
    - -hospital-wide screensaver listing clinical criteria for a true (+) CDI test
    - -presentations at surgical and medicine grand rounds
  - 2 diagnostic stewardship measures

1. Order cancelled if stool sample not received within 24 hours of order placement

2. Lab rejected formed specimens if failed "stick test"



- 05/2016 12/2016: Baseline period
  - 70% false-positive rate
- 01/2017: After education and lab protocol
  - 43% decrease in tests ordered
  - 36% decrease in positive tests
- No increase in complications from delayed diagnosis and treatment (e.g. colectomies, ICU admissions postintervention)





- Statistically significant  $\downarrow$  Standardized Infection Ratio (SIR)
- Decreased lab costs: \$2,017.80 per month
- Decreased drug costs: ~\$5,000 per month



- 12/31/2016:
  - 172 HO-CDI FY2017 to achieve SIR 1.0
  - On track for **292 HO-CDI (SIR: 1.66)**
  - >40% above target! ⊗



- 02/2017: Implementation of 3 diagnostic stewardship interventions
- 1. Order cancelled if stool sample not received within 48 hours of order placement
- 2. EMR pop-up alert if C difficile testing ordered in patient receiving laxative
- Hospital protocol defining appropriateness criteria for C. difficile testing
   -based on diarrhea decision tree utilized at Emory St. Josephs Hospital and
   Emory Johns Creek Hospital associated with significant ↓ (>50%) in HO-CDI
   -modified after multiple meetings with key stake holders

-hospital medicine

-intensive care units

- -solid organ transplant units
- -bone marrow transplant units
- -infectious diseases





\*\*\*Rarely (in <1% of cases), a symptomatic patient with C diff will present with ileus and colonic distension with minimal or no diarrhea



#### ACT Goals: Day 1, 2, and 3

- Increase sensitivity to diagnose communityonset C. difficile infections
- Minimize time to implementation of appropriate infection control precautions (i.e. gowns and gloves) to limit horizontal transmission of *C. difficile* to other hospitalized patients
- Minimize time to starting effective treatment for C. difficile
- 4. Minimize time of patients in contact enteric precautions who test negative for *C difficile*
- Limit C difficile testing to patient who are having diarrhea (≥3 unformed stools in ≤24 consecutive hours)

#### ACT Goals: Day 4+

- Increase specificity to diagnose hospitalonset C. difficile infections
- Minimize detection of asymptomatic colonization with *C. difficile*
- Identify reversible causes of non-infectious diarrhea (e.g. laxatives)
- Minimize unnecessary contact enteric precautions for patients with low pre-test probability for CDI

5. Limit inappropriate testing and strongly discourage repeat testing -most patients with a negative C difficile PCR do not require re-testing -patients with a positive PCR should not have a "test of cure" performed





No increase in colectomies/death secondary to CDI

Division of Infectious Diseases

MEDICINE



>30% reduction in HO-CDI sustained over time No increase in colectomies/death secondary to CDI



## CDI and the Impact of Diagnostic Stewardship Potential Barriers and Ways to Overcome

- No one-size-fits-all approach
   -not a limitation for antibiotic stewardship programs!
- Lack of <u>specific</u> consensus guidelines from professional societies

   -requires high quality evidence from clinical studies
- 3. Provider pushback from reduced autonomy

  hospital administration support
  transparency when changing testing processes
  must be flexible—not absolute



## **Concluding Thoughts**

- 1. CDI remains the #1 HAI in the United States with significant morbidity and mortality
- 2. Diagnostic Stewardship improves the quality of care we provide, reduces costs, and thus, provides value to patients, health care systems and public health.
- 3. When applied to CDI, diagnostic stewardship can improve the accuracy of CDI diagnosis, reduce HAI rates by reducing false positive tests, and improve the appropriateness of treatment

THANK YOU!